arXiv:1609.05868v1 [math-ph] 19 Sep 2016

SOME NOTES ON DIRAC OPERATORS ON THE S^3 AND S^2 SPHERES

FABIO DI COSMO AND ALESSANDRO ZAMPINI

Abstract. We describe both the Hodge - de Rham and the spin manifold Dirac operator on the spheres S^3 and S^2, following the formalism introduced by Kähler, and exhibit a complete spectral resolution for them in terms of suitably globally defined eigenspinors.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The Kähler-Atiyah algebra over a vector space 2
3. Clifford algebra bundles and Dirac operators 5
3.1. An inner calculus over an exterior algebra 5
3.2. Clifford modules and Dirac operators 6
3.3. The Hodge-de Rham Dirac operator 8
3.4. Algebraic Spinors 9
3.5. The spin manifold Dirac operator 10
4. Dirac operators on a class of spheres 12
4.1. The standard spin Dirac operator on S^3 14
4.2. A digression: comparing different methods to compute the spectrum of the Dirac operator 16
4.3. The Hodge - de Rham Dirac operator on S^3 18
4.4. The spin manifold Dirac operator upon algebraic spinors 20
4.5. The Kähler-Dirac operator on S^2 22
4.6. A local description of the Kähler-Dirac operator on S^2 25
Acknowledgements 26
References 26

1. Introduction

This paper outgrew from the lectures that one of the author was invited to deliver at the Workshop on *Quantum Physics: foundations and applications* at the Centre for High Energy Physics in Bangalore in february 2016. The aim of these lectures was to outline, in a (hopefully) pedagogical manner, the building blocks of the theory allowing to define a Dirac operator on a smooth riemannian manifold.

The first question to be addressed was to describe that, given a riemannian smooth manifold (M, g), it is possible to define several Dirac operators: they can all be consistently formulated as covariant derivatives acting upon a space of spinors which can be defined as a suitable module (over $C^\infty(M)$, the algebra of smooth functions on M) with respect to an action of the Clifford algebra corresponding to the metric tensor g. The section 2 of the paper introduces the notions of Clifford algebras and spin group at a global level, i.e. corresponding to a metric tensor on a finite

Date: 18 september 2016.

dimensional vector space. Upon recalling the notion of smooth manifold and bundle, the section 3 presents the notions of Clifford modules, bundles and (general) Dirac operators. A specific emphasis is given to the case of the Hodge - de Rham Dirac operator. It was first written by Kähler and can be defined on any orientable riemannian manifold, and in such a case the relevant space of spinors can be realised as a subspace of the exterior algebra over M. The section ends by recalling that specific topological conditions allow to define and suitably characterise, within the set of all possible Dirac operators, the so called (Atiyah) spin manifold Dirac operator, which plays a prominent role in several branches of mathematics.

The section 4 presents a detailed analysis of both the Kähler and the spin manifold Dirac operators on the spheres S^3 and S^2. The aim of this section is to show the differences between these two operators via some explicit examples: the emphasis is given to the fact that the Dirac operators can be studied, upon using some properties of the monopole bundle formulation, within a global formalism that allows for a complete description of their spectra.

The problem of defining a Dirac operator on a riemannian manifold has been so deeply studied from several different perspectives that the literature on it is ovewhelming. The first sections of this paper are strongly influenced by [6, 7, 9, 14, 15, 20], the last by [3].

2. The Kähler-Atiyah algebra over a vector space

Let V be a finite N-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$. Its tensor algebra $(\mathrm{T}(V), \otimes)$ is the infinite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathrm{T}(V) \,=\, \oplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes k} \tag{2.1}$$

where $V^{\otimes 0} = \mathbb{R}$ and $V^{\otimes k} = V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$ (k-times), together with the usual associative tensor product of its elements. Notice that this tensor algebra is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, namely $V^{\otimes j} \otimes V^{\otimes k} \subset V^{\otimes j+k}$. Let $J \subset \mathrm{T}(V)$ be the two-sided ideal generated by the elements $a \otimes a \in V^{\otimes 2}$ for any $a \in V$, that is $J = \mathrm{T}(V) \otimes (a \otimes a) \otimes \mathrm{T}(V)$. The exterior algebra $(\Lambda(V), \wedge)$ is the quotient

$$\Lambda(V) \,=\, \mathrm{T}(V)/J \tag{2.2}$$

where we denote by the wedge symbol, as usual, the associative product induced on $\Lambda(V)$ by the tensor product in $\mathrm{T}(V)$. Notice that, since J is homogeneous with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading in $\mathrm{T}(V)$, the quotient (2.2) preserves the grading, and one has the finite direct sum

$$\Lambda(V) \,=\, \oplus_{k=0}^{N} \Lambda^k(V) \tag{2.3}$$

where $\Lambda^0(V) = V$, $\Lambda^1(V) = V$, $\Lambda^k(V) = V^{\otimes k}/J$ for $k \geq 2$, with $\Lambda^j(V) \wedge \Lambda^k(V) \subset \Lambda^{j+k}(V)$. It is clear that $\Lambda^k(V) = \{0\}$ for $k > N$ and $\dim \Lambda^k(V) = N!/(k!(N-k)!)$ so that, as a vector space, $\dim \Lambda(V) = 2^N$. The wedge product is indeed graded commutative, namely

$$a \wedge b \,=\, (-1)^{jk} b \wedge a \tag{2.4}$$

with $a \in \Lambda^j(V)$ and $b \in \Lambda^k(V)$.

Let a bilinear symmetric form g be defined on V and consider the two-sided ideal $K \subset \mathrm{T}(V)$ generated by elements $a \otimes a - g(a,a)$ for any $a \in V$, that is

$$K \,=\, \mathrm{T}(V) \otimes (a \otimes a - g(a,a)) \otimes \mathrm{T}(V). \tag{2.5}$$

The Clifford algebra $(\mathrm{Cl}(V,g), \vee)$ is the quotient

$$\mathrm{Cl}(V,g) \,=\, \mathrm{T}(V)/K \tag{2.6}$$

where the associative product $\vee$ is induced by the tensor product in $\mathrm{T}(V)$. Since K has inhomogeneous terms of even degree in $\mathrm{T}(V)$, the Clifford algebra naturally inherits a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading, so that we may write

$$\mathrm{Cl}(V,g) \,=\, \mathrm{Cl}_e(V,g) \,\oplus\, \mathrm{Cl}_o(V,g) \tag{2.7}$$

where $\mathrm{Cl}_e(V,g)$ ($\mathrm{Cl}_o(V,g)$) is given by the images of elements of even (odd) degree in $\mathrm{T}(V)$. The Clifford product satisfies the rule

$$a \vee b + b \vee a = 2g(a,b) \tag{2.8}$$

for any $a, b \in V$. This relation allows to easily prove that:

(1) as a vector space, $\dim \mathrm{Cl}(V,g) = 2^N$. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(V) &\to \mathrm{Cl}(V,g) \\ v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k &\mapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} (-1)^{\pi(\sigma)} v_{\sigma(1)} \vee \cdots \vee v_{\sigma(k)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

(with S_k the permutation group of k elements, and $\pi(\sigma)$ the parity of the permutation σ) is a vector space isomorphism between the exterior algebra over V and a Clifford algebra over V (irrespective of the bilinear symmetric form g on it).

(2) if the bilinear form g is degenerate, the Clifford product coincides with the exterior product on images of elements in $\mathrm{T}(V)$ generated by vectors $v \subset V_0 \subseteq V$ where V_0 is the null subspace of g. In what follows we shall restrict our attention to Clifford algebras corresponding to non degenerate symmetric bilinear forms g, i.e. (not necessarily positive definite) metric tensors.

The metric tensor g allows to define suitable contraction map on $\Lambda(V)$. For each element $v \in V$ one defines the linear map $i_v : \Lambda^k(V) \to \Lambda^{k-1}(V)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} i_v(\lambda) &= 0 \qquad \forall\, \lambda \in \Lambda^0(V) \sim \mathbb{R}, \\ i_v(w) &= g(v,w), \qquad \forall\, w \in V \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

and extending the action of i_v upon all elements in $\Lambda(V)$ by requiring it to be linear and to satisfy a graded Leibniz rule with respect to the wedge product. Given the basis $\{e_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,N}$ for V and its dual basis $\{\epsilon^k\}_{k=1,\ldots,N}$ for V^*, with $\epsilon^k(e_j) = \delta^k_j$, one writes $g_{ab} = g(e_a, e_b)$ so that one has $g = g_{ab}\epsilon^a \otimes \epsilon^b$ or equivalently $g = g^{ab} e_a \otimes e_b$ on $(V^*)^*$ with $g^{ab} g_{bc} = \delta^a_c$. If one recalls the vector space isomorphism between the exterior algebra $\Lambda(V)$ and the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$, one can write the Clifford product on $\Lambda(V)$ by

$$\phi \vee \omega = \sum_s \frac{(-1)^{\binom{s}{2}}}{s!} g^{a_1 b_1} \cdots g^{a_s b_s} (\check{\gamma}^s \{ i_{e_{a_1}} \cdots i_{e_{a_s}} \phi\}) \wedge \{ i_{e_{b_1}} \cdots i_{e_{b_s}} \omega\}, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\phi, \omega \in \Lambda(V)$ and $\check{\gamma}(\omega) = (-1)^k \omega$ if $\omega \in \Lambda^k(V)$. This means that the exterior algebra $\Lambda(V)$ is an associative unital algebra with respect to both the wedge and the Clifford product. The set $(\Lambda(V), g, \wedge, \vee)$ is usually called the Kähler-Atiyah algebra over (V,g).[1]

It is well known that a metric tensor g on V provides the exterior algebra $\Lambda(V)$ a natural scalar product. Elements in $\Lambda^k(V)$ and $\Lambda^{k'}(V)$ are defined orthogonal if $k \neq k'$, while one sets (recall (2.10)):

$$\langle e_{a_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{a_s} \mid e_{b_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{b_s} \rangle = i_{e_{a_s}} \ldots i_{e_{a_1}} (e_{b_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{b_s}) \tag{2.14}$$

[1] A direct proof of (2.11) is in [10], while the following argument, which can be used toward proving (2.11), is described in [6]. Consider the map $\Phi : V \to \mathrm{End}(\Lambda(V))$ defined on a basis of V via (with $\omega \in \Lambda(V)$)

$$\Phi(e_a) : \omega \qquad \mapsto \qquad e_a \wedge \omega + i_{e_a} \omega. \tag{2.12}$$

Such a map satisfies the identity

$$\Phi(v)\Phi(w) + \Phi(w)\Phi(v) = 2g(v,w) \tag{2.13}$$

for any pair $v, w \in V$. By the universality of the Clifford algebra, this map extends to a unique algebra homomorphism $\Phi : \mathrm{Cl}(V,g) \to \mathrm{End}(\Lambda(V))$, and upon evaluating Φ at $1 \in \Lambda(V)$ one gets an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$ and $\Lambda(V)$ whose explicit form is (2.11).

Such a scalar product on $\Lambda(V)$ allows to define a scalar product on $\mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$. One sets

$$\langle e_{a_1} \vee \ldots \vee e_{a_s} \mid e_{b_1} \vee \ldots \vee e_{b_s} \rangle_{\mathrm{Cl}} = \langle 1 \mid e_{a_s} \vee \ldots \vee e_{a_1} \vee e_{b_1} \vee \ldots \vee e_{b_s} \rangle \tag{2.15}$$

where the rhs is defined via (2.14).

Given the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$, one defines the corresponding $\mathrm{Pin}(V,g)$ as a subgroup of the group of units of $\mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$, namely

$$\mathrm{Pin}(V,g) = \{ v_{a_1} \vee \cdots \vee v_{a_j} : g(v_{a_k}, v_{a_k}) = \pm 1 \}. \tag{2.16}$$

For each $v \in \mathrm{Pin}(V,g) \cap V$ one may define the map (an adjoint action) $\mathrm{Ad}_v : V \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ given by

$$x \in V, \qquad \mathrm{Ad}_v(x) = -v \vee x \vee v^{-1} = x - 2\,\frac{g(x,v)}{g(v,v)}\,v : \tag{2.17}$$

this map reflects x with respect to a hyperplane perpendicular to v. The map Ad can be clearly multiplicatively extended to the whole $\mathrm{Pin}(V,g)$ group, giving an adjoint action of $\mathrm{Pin}(V,g)$ upon V. It is well known that the following sequence of group homomorphisms

$$1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \{\pm 1\} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{Pin}(V,g) \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{Ad}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \mathrm{O}(V,g) \quad \longrightarrow \quad 1 \tag{2.18}$$

is exact: the $\mathrm{Pin}(V,g)$ group is a double covering of the orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(V,g) \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$ given by linear maps T satisfying the relation $g(Tv,Tw) = g(v,w)$ for any $v, w, \in V$. The $\mathrm{Spin}(V,g)$ group is defined as

$$\mathrm{Spin}(V,g) = \mathrm{Pin}(V,g) \cap \mathrm{Cl}_e(V,g) : \tag{2.19}$$

it is given by the even part of the $\mathrm{Pin}(V,g)$ group. One has the following exact sequence of group homomorphisms:

$$1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \{\pm 1\} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{Spin}(V,g) \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{Ad}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \mathrm{SO}(V,g) \quad \longrightarrow \quad 1. \tag{2.20}$$

The $\mathrm{Spin}(V,g)$ is a double covering of the special orthogonal $\mathrm{SO}(V,g)$ group, that is the subgroup of orthogonal transformations $T \in \mathrm{O}(V,g)$ with $\det T = 1$.

We close this section by considering the Clifford algebra defined on a finite dimensional complex vector space. Since a complex quadratic form has no signature, every finite dimensional complex quadratic vector space is isomorphic to an orthogonal direct sum $(\mathbb{C}^N, g) = \mathbb{C}^r(0) \oplus \mathbb{C}^s(1)$ where $\mathbb{C}^r(0)$ is the r dimensional kernel of g, while $\mathbb{C}^s(1)$ is the s-dimensional complex vector subspace of V with an orthonormal basis $\{v_{a_j}\}_{j=1,\ldots,s}$ giving $g(v_{a_k}, v_{a_p}) = \delta_{kp}$. If (V,g) is a N-dimensional real vector space, it can be complexified: one has $V_{\mathbb{C}} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $g_{\mathbb{C}}(zv, z'v') = zz' g(v,v')$ with $v, v' \in V$ and $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{Cl}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, g_{\mathbb{C}}) \simeq \mathrm{Cl}(V,g) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.21}$$

In the following we shall denote $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V,g) = \mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$. With respect to such a complexified Clifford algebra, one defines the analogue of the $\mathrm{Spin}(V,g)$ group as

$$\mathrm{Spin}^c(V,g) = \{ v_{a_1} \vee \cdots \vee v_{a_{2k}} : v_{a_j} \in V_{\mathbb{C}},\, g_{\mathbb{C}}(v^*_{a_j}, v_{a_j}) = 1 \} \tag{2.22}$$

with v^* denoting the complex conjugate of $v \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$. The Ad map defined in (2.17) can be immediately extended to the complex case, so one has the short exact sequence

$$1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{U}(1) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{Spin}^c(V,g) \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{Ad}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \mathrm{SO}(V,g) \quad \longrightarrow \quad 1. \tag{2.23}$$

3. Clifford algebra bundles and Dirac operators

3.1. **An inner calculus over an exterior algebra.** Let M be a real N-dimensional smooth manifold, and $\pi : E \to M$ a rank k real vector bundle on it, with typical fiber a k-dimensional real vector space E_x. We denote by $\mathcal{E} = \Gamma(M, E_{\mathbb{C}})$ the set of complex valued sections of the bundle, which is a finitely generated projective symmetric[2] $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(M)$-bimodule. Some refinements of the celebrated theorem by Serre and Swan prove that the category of smooth vector bundles over a smooth manifold M is equivalent to the category of finitely generated projective modules over $\mathcal{A}$. A (smooth) metric structure on the vector bundle $\pi : E \to M$ is given by a real non degenerate symmetric $\mathcal{A}$-bilinear form $\gamma : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{A}$. By real form it is intended that $\gamma(\sigma, \sigma')$ is a real function on M if σ, σ' are real sections in $\mathcal{E}$; by symmetry and bilinearity it is intended that $\gamma(h\sigma, \sigma') = \gamma(\sigma', h\sigma) = h\gamma(\sigma, \sigma')$ with $h \in \mathcal{A}$; the non degeneracy clearly amounts to say that the condition $\gamma(\sigma, \sigma) = 0$ for any real $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$ implies $\sigma = 0$. An hermitian structure on E is defined by

$$\langle \sigma \mid \sigma' \rangle = \gamma(\sigma^*, \sigma'). \tag{3.1}$$

It is immediate to see that, if $E = TM$ – the tangent bundle to M – then such a metric structure can be identified with a metric tensor g on M. The metric structure on the vector bundle E allows to consider each typical fiber E_x equipped with a metric g_x (here $x \in M$), and then the corresponding Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(E_x, g_x)$ which is a 2^k-dimensional vector space. The set $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(E, g) = \amalg_{x \in M} \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(E_x, g_x)$ can be given the structure of a smooth vector bundle with typical fiber $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(E_x, g_x)$, and it is called the Clifford bundle corresponding to $\pi : E \to (M, g)$. If $E = T^*M$ – the cotangent bundle on M – then from (2.9) one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(M, \mathrm{Cl}(T^*M, g)) &\simeq \Lambda(M) = \oplus_{j=1}^N \Lambda^j(M), \\ \Gamma(M, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T^*M, g)) &\simeq \Lambda(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \oplus_{j=1}^N \Lambda^j(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

i.e. the set of sections of the Clifford bundle is isomorphic, as a $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule, to the set of exterior forms defined on M. This isomorphism allows to extend the Clifford product from $\Gamma(M, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T^*M, g))$ to $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$.

Assume M is given a suitable smooth atlas and $\{x^j\}_{j=1,\dots,N}$ is the corresponding local coordinate system, with $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x^j$ the local basis for the set of vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(M) = \Gamma(M, T_{\mathbb{C}}M)$ and $\{\mathrm{d}x^j\}_{j=1,\dots,N}$ its dual local basis for exterior 1-forms, so that one can write $g = g^{ab}\partial_a \otimes \partial_b$ for the metric tensor. The Clifford product on $\Lambda(M)$ takes the form

$$\phi \vee \phi' = \sum_s \frac{(-1)^{\binom{s}{2}}}{s!} g^{a_1 b_1} \cdots g^{a_s b_s} (\check{\gamma}^s \{i_{a_1} \cdots i_{a_s} \phi\}) \wedge \{i_{b_1} \cdots i_{b_s} \phi'\}, \tag{3.3}$$

where ϕ, ϕ' are elements in $\Lambda(M)$, one has $\check{\gamma}(\phi) = (-1)^k \phi$ for $\phi \in \Lambda^k(M)$ ($\check{\gamma}$ is the degree operator) and $i_a = i_{\partial_a}$ is the contraction operator on $\Lambda(M)$[3]. One clearly has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}x^a \vee \mathrm{d}x^b &= \mathrm{d}x^a \wedge \mathrm{d}x^b + g^{ab}, \\ \mathrm{d}x^a \vee \mathrm{d}x^b + \mathrm{d}x^b \vee \mathrm{d}x^a &= 2g^{ab}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

[2]Symmetry for the $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ means that the left and the right multiplication of $\mathcal{A}$ upon $\mathcal{E}$ coincide.

[3]Given a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the contraction map $i_X : \Lambda^k(M) \to \Lambda^{k-1}(M)$ is the natural generalization of the contraction map introduced in the previous pages on a vector space. Such a map is linear and uniquely defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} i_X(f) &= 0, && \forall f \in \Lambda^0(M) \\ i_X(\phi) &= \phi(X), && \forall \phi \in \Lambda^1(M) \\ i_X(\phi \wedge \phi') &= (i_X(\phi)) \wedge \phi' + (-1)^k \phi \wedge i_X(\phi'), && \forall \phi \in \Lambda^k(M). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

What we have described above shows that $\mathfrak{K}(M,g) = \amalg_{x\in M}\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(T^*_xM, g_x)$ can be given the structure of a smooth bundle over M of Clifford algebras, whose sections can be identified with $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{K}(M,g)} = \Lambda(M)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C} = \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. The expression (3.3) shows that we may then consider such a set of sections

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{K}(M,g)} = (\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M), \vee, \wedge, \mathrm{d}, i_X) \tag{3.7}$$

as the Kähler-Atiyah algebras over (M,g). The unital algebraic structure $(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M),\vee)$ is called, after Kähler, an *inner* product over an exterior algebra.

3.2. **Clifford modules and Dirac operators.** Given the smooth real finite dimensional manifold equipped with a metric tensor (M,g), we say that a finitely generated projective bimodule $(\mathcal{E}, \langle\ |\ \rangle)$ over $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}^\infty(M)$, with $\langle\ |\ \rangle$ an hermitian structure on it, is a Clifford module if the two following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\mathcal{E}$ is a left module with respect to a left action of the Clifford (*inner*) algebra structure $(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M),\vee)$, that is a linear $\mathcal{A}$-homomorphism $\nu : (\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M),\vee) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{E})$ exists;
(2) the elements in the range of the homomorphism ν provide selfadjoint maps on $(\mathcal{E}, \langle\ |\ \rangle)$, that is
$$\langle\sigma \mid \nu(\theta)\sigma'\rangle = \langle\nu(\theta^*)\sigma \mid \sigma'\rangle \tag{3.8}$$
with $\theta \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$, $\sigma,\sigma' \in \mathcal{E}$.

In order to introduce the notion of Dirac operator on a Clifford module, we recall the notion of covariant derivative (connection) on a finitely generated projective module. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{A}$-module, that is a $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $p : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes s} \to \mathcal{A}^{\otimes s}$ (with s a suitable integer) exists, such that $\mathcal{E} = p\,\mathcal{A}^{\otimes s}$ with $p = p^\dagger = p^2$. A connection on $\mathcal{E}$ is a map

$$\delta : \Lambda^k(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{E} \to \Lambda^{k+1}(M)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E} \tag{3.9}$$

such that a graded Leibniz rule is satisfied,

$$\delta(\theta\,\sigma) = (\mathrm{d}\theta)\,\sigma + (-1)^k\theta\,\delta\sigma \tag{3.10}$$

for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\theta \in \Lambda^k(M)$. A connection is completely characterized by its restriction $\delta : \mathcal{E} \to \Lambda^1(M)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}$, satisfying

$$\delta(f\sigma) = (\mathrm{d}f)\,\sigma + f\,\delta\sigma$$

(with $f \in \mathcal{A}$) and then extended by using the Leibniz rule. The composition

$$\delta^2 = \delta\circ\delta : \Lambda^k(M)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E} \to \Lambda^{k+2}(M)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{E}$$

is $\Lambda(M)$-linear, the restriction $F = \delta^2$ to $\mathcal{E}$ is the curvature corresponding to the connection δ, with $\delta^2(\theta\,\sigma) = \theta\,\delta^2(\sigma)$, $\theta \in \Lambda(M)$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$. Connections always exist on a projective module; the set of connections is an affine space, since any two connections δ and δ' differ by a matrix valued 1-form element, i.e. $\delta - \delta' \in \mathbb{M}_s(\mathcal{A})\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\Lambda^1(M)$, so one can write $\delta = \delta_0 + A$ with $\delta_0 = p\,\mathrm{d}$ (it is the Grassmann connection) and $A \in \Lambda^1_{\mathbb{C}}(M)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbb{M}_s(\mathcal{A})$ with $p\,A = A\,p$. The element A is referred to as the vector potential of the connection δ. The operator $\delta_X = i_X\otimes 1 : \mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{E}$ evaluates the covariant derivative δ along the vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Notice that $i_Xi_Y = -i_Yi_X$ for any pair of vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. On the exterior algebra the exterior derivative operator $\mathrm{d} : \Lambda^k(M) \to \Lambda^{k+1}(M)$ is uniquely defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}f(X) = X(f) = L_Xf, &\qquad \forall\, f\in\Lambda^0(M),\, X\in\mathfrak{X}(M)\\ \mathrm{d}(\phi\wedge\phi') = (\mathrm{d}\phi)\wedge\phi' + (-1)^k\phi\wedge\mathrm{d}\phi', &\qquad \forall\,\phi\in\Lambda^k(M)\\ \mathrm{d}^2\phi = 0, &\qquad \forall\,\phi\in\Lambda(M), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where L_Xf denotes the Lie derivative of the function f along the vector field X. One denotes by $(\Lambda(M),\wedge,\mathrm{d},i_X)$ the exterior algebra over M equipped with its natural exterior differential calculus: the Cartan identity – that is $L_X = \mathrm{d}\,i_X + i_X\mathrm{d}$ when acting upon elements in $\Lambda(M)$ – holds.

Let us assume that $\{X_a\}_{a=1,\ldots,N}$ gives a (local) basis for $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $\{\theta^a\}_{a=1,\ldots,N}$ its dual (local) basis on $\Lambda^1(M)$. Along such a basis, the metric tensor on M can be written as $g = g^{ab}X_a \otimes X_b$. Let $\{\epsilon_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,s}$ be a basis for the free $\mathcal{A}$-(bi)module $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes s}$, with $\mathcal{E} = \{f^j\epsilon_j : f^j \in \mathcal{A},\, p(f^j\epsilon_j) = f^j\epsilon_j\}$. A covariant derivative on $\mathcal{E}$ is completely characterised by

$$\delta(\epsilon_j) = \theta^l A_{lj}{}^q \epsilon_q \tag{3.11}$$

with $A_{lj}{}^q \in \mathcal{A}$ and $j, q \in 1, \ldots, s$ while $l \in 1, \ldots, N = \dim M$, so that

$$\delta(f^j\epsilon_j) = (\mathrm{d}f^j) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \epsilon_j + f^j\delta(\epsilon_j) = \theta^l\{X_l f^q + A_{lj}{}^q f^j\}\epsilon_q. \tag{3.12}$$

If the projective module $\mathcal{E}$ is given an hermitian structure, then a connection is called riemannian when

$$\delta_X\langle\sigma \mid \sigma'\rangle = \langle\delta_X\sigma \mid \sigma'\rangle + \langle\sigma \mid \delta_X\sigma'\rangle. \tag{3.13}$$

If $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \mid \rangle)$ is a Clifford module over (M, g), a connection δ on it is called compatible with the Clifford structure if it is riemannian and if

$$\delta_X(\theta \vee \sigma) = (\nabla_X\theta) \vee \sigma + \theta \vee (\delta_X\sigma) \tag{3.14}$$

(with $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $\theta \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$) where we have denoted via the Clifford product symbol the left (Clifford) action of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{K}(M.g)}$ upon $\mathcal{E}$

$$\theta \vee \sigma = \nu(\theta)\sigma$$

and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection[4] corresponding to g acting on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. Given an hermitian finitely generated projective module $\mathcal{E}$ over (M, g), each compatible connection defines a Dirac operator upon $\mathcal{E}$, given via

$$D\,\sigma = \theta^a \vee \delta_{X_a}\sigma = \nu(\theta^a)\,\delta_{X_a}\sigma \tag{3.18}$$

It is clear that $\nu(\theta^a) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{M}_s(\mathbb{C})$. The matrices $\nu(\theta^a) = \gamma^{(a)}$ are the gamma-matrices corresponding to the Clifford module $\mathcal{E}$ (notice that they depend also on the choice of the frame $\{\theta^a\}_{a=1,\ldots,N}$), and they satisfy the relation

$$\gamma^{(a)}\gamma^{(b)} + \gamma^{(b)}\gamma^{(a)} = 2g^{ab}. \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.12) one can write the action of the Dirac operator (3.18) as

$$D(f^j\epsilon_j) = \{X_l f^q + A_{lj}{}^q f^j\}\nu(\theta^l)\epsilon_q \tag{3.20}$$

$$= \{X_l f^q + A_{lj}{}^q f^j\}(\gamma^{(l)})^t_q\epsilon_t : \tag{3.21}$$

upon the components $f^j \in \mathcal{A}$ of $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$ one has

$$D(f^j) = (\gamma^{(l)})^j_q\{X_l f^q + A_{ls}{}^q f^s\}. \tag{3.22}$$

---

[4]It is well known – as a specific case of the general theory described above – that the Levi-Civita connection corresponding to (M, g) is defined on $\mathfrak{X}(M) = \Gamma(M, TM)$ and $\Lambda^1(M) = \Gamma(M, T^*M)$ via

$$\nabla : \Lambda^1(M) \to \Lambda^1(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Lambda^1(M),$$
$$\nabla : \mathcal{X}(M) \to \Lambda^1(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{X}(M), \tag{3.15}$$

with (compare such expression with (3.12))

$$\nabla(\mathrm{d}x^a) = -\mathrm{d}x^s \otimes \Gamma^a_{sb}\mathrm{d}x^b,$$
$$\nabla(\partial_a) = \mathrm{d}x^s \otimes \Gamma^b_{sa}\partial_b \tag{3.16}$$

where Γ^a_{bc} are the Christoffel symbols of the connection.

$$\Gamma^m_{ji} = \Gamma^m_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g^{mk}\left(\partial_j g_{ki} + \partial_i g_{kj} - \partial_k g_{ij}\right). \tag{3.17}$$

The action of ∇ is extended to $\Lambda(M)$ via requiring it to satisfy the Leibniz rule with respect to the wedge product.

For a given smooth manifold equipped with a metric tensor (M, g) it is then possible to define several Dirac operators. In the following pages we shall focus on two specific examples.

3.3. **The Hodge-de Rham Dirac operator.** Following [10], we review how the Hodge - de Rham operator can be defined on the exterior algebra over a manifold (M, g), and how it can be formulated as a suitable Dirac operator. For any $\phi \in \Lambda^k(M)$, its exterior covariant derivative is defined via

$$\mathfrak{D}\phi = \mathrm{d}x^a \wedge \nabla_a \phi, \tag{3.23}$$

where $\nabla_a = \nabla_{\partial_a}$. The action of the Kähler operator is defined as:

$$\mathcal{D}\phi = \mathrm{d}x^a \vee \nabla_a \phi. \tag{3.24}$$

The action of this operator can immediately be extended to $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. From the identification (3.7) it is evident that $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ is a Clifford module with respect to the Clifford (inner) product on itself; the Kähler operator $\mathcal{D}$ is the Dirac operator (3.18) corresponding to the Levi-Civita connection on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ with $\delta_{X_a} = \nabla_a$. From (3.3) one immediately sees that

$$\mathcal{D}\phi = \mathfrak{D}\phi + g^{ab} i_b \nabla_a \phi. \tag{3.25}$$

A direct computation shows that $\mathfrak{D}\phi = \mathrm{d}\phi$, so one has

$$\mathcal{D}\phi = \mathrm{d}\phi + g^{ab} i_b \nabla_a \phi : \tag{3.26}$$

if $\phi \in \Lambda^k(M)$, the image $\mathcal{D}\phi$ has a component of degree $k+1$ and a component of degree $k-1$. Notice that $\mathcal{D}\phi$ has a well defined parity with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading of $\Lambda(M)$. One can prove that, for $\phi \in \Lambda^k(M)$,

$$\mathcal{D}\phi = \mathrm{d}\phi + (-1)^{N(k-1)} \star \mathrm{d} \star \phi \tag{3.27}$$

where one has introduced the Hodge duality operator corresponding to the metric g, i.e. a $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule map $\star : \Lambda^k(M) \to \Lambda^{N-k}(M)$ defined on a basis by

$$\star \left(\mathrm{d}x^{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{a_k}\right) = g^{a_1 b_1} \cdots g^{a_k b_k} i_{b_k} \cdots i_{b_1} \mu, \tag{3.28}$$

with $\mu = |g|^{1/2} \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^N$ is the invariant volume form corresponding to the metric g (here $|g|$ denotes the determinant of the matrix g_{ab}). The relation

$$\star^2 (\phi) = (-1)^{k(N-k)} (\operatorname{sgn} g) \phi \tag{3.29}$$

holds, for $\phi \in \Lambda^k(M)$, with $(\operatorname{sgn} g)$ the signature of the metric tensor g. Via a metric g on a manifold, one can introduce a scalar product among exterior forms. For homogeneous ϕ and ϕ' with the same degree we set

$$\langle \phi \mid \phi' \rangle = \int_M \phi \wedge \star \phi', \tag{3.30}$$

where the integration measure on M comes from the volume form μ previously defined. Homogeneous forms ϕ, ϕ' are defined orthogonal if their degrees are different (this amounts to say that $\Lambda^k(M)$ is orthogonal to $\Lambda^{k'}(M)$ for $k \neq k'$). Notice that such a scalar product is the natural generalization to the exterior algebra $\Lambda(M)$ of the scalar product introduced in (2.14)[5]. Given any $\alpha \in \Lambda^k(M)$ and $\beta \in \Lambda^{k+1}(M)$, upon defining $\mathrm{d}^* = (-1)^{N(k-1)+1} \star \mathrm{d} \star : \Lambda^k(M) \to \Lambda^{k-1}(M)$ one proves that

$$\langle \mathrm{d}\alpha \mid \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha \mid \mathrm{d}^* \beta \rangle + \int_M \mathrm{d}(\alpha \wedge \star \beta). \tag{3.31}$$

[5] It is easy to prove that, if the exterior algebra $\Lambda(M)$ is a free $\mathcal{A}$-module (which amounts to eventually consider only a local description for a manifold which is not globally parallelasible) then the expression (3.30) defines a Hodge duality once a non degenerate hermitian scalar product is given on the whole $\Lambda(M)$.

This relation shows that the operator d^* can then be considered – under suitable conditions on its domain – the adjoint of d in $\Lambda(M)$ with respect to the scalar product (3.30). The action (3.27) of the Dirac operator can be cast in the form

$$\mathcal{D}\phi \,=\, \mathrm{d}\phi \,-\, \mathrm{d}^*\phi\,: \tag{3.32}$$

from (3.29) we have that $\mathrm{d}^*\mathrm{d}^* \,=\, 0$, making it immediate to prove the following relation

$$\mathcal{D}^2\phi \,=\, -(\mathrm{d}\mathrm{d}^* + \mathrm{d}^*\mathrm{d})\phi \,=\, (-1)^{kN}\{\star\mathrm{d}\star\,\mathrm{d}\phi \,+\, (-1)^N\mathrm{d}\star\,\mathrm{d}\star\,\phi\} \tag{3.33}$$

for $\phi \,\in\, \Lambda^k(M)$. The square of the Dirac operator gives a version of the Hodge - de Rham Laplacian on $\Lambda(M)$[6].

3.4. **Algebraic Spinors.** It is easy to see that the action of the Dirac - Kähler operator upon $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ defined in (3.24) is highly reducible. The aim of the present section is to review a formalism developed in [9], which allows to introduce the notion of irreducible spinors with respect to such action. Since the Clifford algebra $(\Lambda(M), \vee)$ is semi simple (simple for even N)[7] , its finite dimensional irreducible representations are given by its minimal (left) ideals $I \,\subset\, \Lambda(M)$, with $\Lambda(M) \,\vee\, I \,\subset\, I$. The decomposition of this algebra into minimal ideals can be characterized by a spectral set P_j of $\vee$-idempotents in $(\Lambda(M), \vee)$, i.e. a set of elements satisfying:

(1) $\sum_j P_j \,=\, 1$
(2) $P_j \,\vee\, P_k \,=\, \delta_{jk}P_j$
(3) the rank of P_j is minimal (non trivial), where the rank of P_j is given by the dimension of the range of the $\Lambda(M)$-morphism $\psi \,\mapsto\, \psi \,\vee\, P_j$.

Under these conditions one has that

$$I_j \,=\, \{\psi \,\in\, \Lambda(M) \,:\, \psi \vee P_j = \psi\} \tag{3.40}$$

---

[6]The following relation is usually referred to as a Green's identity,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}^2\phi \mid \phi'\rangle \,-\, \langle \phi \mid \mathcal{D}^2\phi'\rangle \,=\, \int_M \mathrm{d}\{(\mathrm{d}^*\phi')\wedge\star\phi \,+\, \phi'\wedge\star\mathrm{d}\phi \,-\, \phi\wedge\star(\mathrm{d}\phi') \,-\, (\mathrm{d}^*\phi)\wedge\star\phi'\} \tag{3.34}$$

with $\phi,\, \phi' \,\in\, \Lambda^k(M)$, and allows to analyze the condition under which the operator $\mathcal{D}^2$ is self-adjoint. An identity generalizing to the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ the expression (3.31) valid for d exists. In order to describe it, one considers exterior forms

$$\alpha \,=\, \sum_{k=0}^{N}\alpha_{(k)}, \qquad \beta \,=\, \sum_{k=0}^{N}\beta_{(k)} \tag{3.35}$$

with $\alpha_{(k)}, \beta_{(k)} \,\in\, \Lambda^k(M)$ and defines

$$\Lambda^N(M) \,\ni\, (\alpha, \beta) \,=\, \sum_{k=0}^{N}\alpha_{(k)}\wedge\star\beta_{(k)}. \tag{3.36}$$

From (3.30) one sees that the quantity $\int_M(\alpha, \beta)$ is the scalar product between α and β defined in (3.35) as the sum of inhomogeneous terms. One then defines

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(M)\,\otimes\,\Lambda(M) \qquad &\rightarrow \qquad \Lambda^{N-1}(M) \\ \alpha\,\otimes\,\beta \qquad &\mapsto \qquad (\alpha, \beta)_1 \,=\, i_k(\mathrm{d}x^k \vee \alpha, \beta) \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

which gives

$$(\alpha, \beta)_1 \,=\, \sum_{k=0}^{N}\{\alpha_{(k)}\wedge\star\beta_{(k+1)} \,+\, \beta_{(k)}\wedge\star\alpha_{(k+1)}\}, \tag{3.38}$$

showing that $(\alpha, \beta)_1 \,=\, (\beta, \alpha)_1$. It is indeed easy to prove that

$$(\alpha, \mathcal{D}\beta) \,+\, (\mathcal{D}\alpha, \beta) \,=\, \mathrm{d}(\alpha, \beta)_1, \tag{3.39}$$

thus generalizing the identity (3.31).

[7]An interesting description of the representation theory for both real and complex Clifford algebras is in [6].

and $\Lambda(M) = \oplus_j I_j$. Elements in I_j are called *spinors* with respect to the idempotent P_j. Different sets of spinors, that is different ideals I_j, I_k, are called equivalent if an element $\epsilon \in \mathrm{Pin}(M,g)$ exists such that

$$P_j = \epsilon \vee P_k \vee \epsilon^{-1}. \tag{3.41}$$

Different sets of spinors are called strongly equivalent if the condition (3.41) is satisfied by an element $\epsilon \in \mathrm{Spin}(M,g)$. Notice that this notion of equivalence among spinors amounts to say that two sets I_j, I_k of spinors are equivalent if and only if for each element $\psi_j \in I_j$ there is an element $\epsilon \in \mathrm{Pin}(M,g)$ such that $\psi_j \vee \epsilon \in I_k$.

The action of the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ defined in (3.27) is meaningful on a set of spinors, i.e. $\mathcal{D}$ maps elements of I_j into elements in I_j with I_j the left ideals of the Clifford algebra $(\Lambda(M), \vee)$, if and only if the condition

$$P_j \vee \nabla_a P_j = 0 \tag{3.42}$$

for any $\nabla_a = \nabla_{\partial_a}$ holds.

Let $\{U_a \subseteq M\}$ be the local charts of a smooth atlas for M, with local coordinates $\{x^a\}_{a=1,\dots,N}$. Let P be a suitable projector for the Clifford algebra $(\Lambda(U), \vee)$ whose range is denoted by $I_P \subset \Lambda(U_a)$. We denote by $\{w_i\}_{i=1,\dots,s}$ with $s = \mathrm{rank}\, I_P$ a basis for it: I_P is a free module over $C^\infty(U_a)$ given by

$$I_P \sim \mathbb{C}^s \otimes C^\infty(U_a) \ni \psi = \psi^i w_i \tag{3.43}$$

with $\psi^i \in C^\infty(U_a)$. We have

$$\mathrm{d}x^a \vee w_i = (\gamma^{(a)})_i^{\ j} w_j. \tag{3.44}$$

This equation defines the s-dimensional gamma matrices $\gamma^{(a)}$ which generate the action of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(U_a, \vee)$ upon I_P. The relation (3.20) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\psi = \mathcal{D}(\psi^i w_i) &= (\nabla_k \psi^i)\, \mathrm{d}x^k \vee w_i + \psi^i\, \mathrm{d}x^k \vee (\nabla_k w_i) \\ &= (\partial_k \psi^j + \psi^i A_{ki}^{\ j})\, \mathrm{d}x^k \vee w_j \qquad (3.45) \\ &= (\partial_k \psi^j + \psi^i A_{ki}^{\ j})\, (\gamma^{(k)})_j^{\ s} w_s \qquad (3.46) \end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$\nabla_k w_i = A_{ki}^{\ j} w_j : \tag{3.47}$$

notice that such a definition is meaningful since the relation (3.42) holds. Such an identification shows that the Hodge - de Rham Dirac operator – as defined by Kähler in (3.24) – acts on the space of spinors I_P as a covariant derivative, with a vector potential given by (3.47)

3.5. **The spin manifold Dirac operator.** Given the equivalence between finitely generated projective modules over $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}^\infty(M)$ and finite rank smooth vector bundles over M, the problem of describing Clifford bundles as spaces of sections of bundles associated to suitable principal bundles over M is studied in detail in several textbooks, see for example [8, 15]. We assume (M,g) to be an orientable N-dimensional smooth manifold equipped with the metric tensor g and introduce the orthonormal frame bundle $P_{\mathrm{SO}(g)}(M)$, i.e. a principal $\mathrm{SO}(g)$-bundle over M. A spin structure for the manifold (M,g) is a principal $\mathrm{Spin}(g)$-bundle $P_{\mathrm{Spin}(g)}(M)$ with basis M such that a 2-sheeted covering

$$\pi : P_{\mathrm{Spin}(g)}(M) \to P_{\mathrm{SO}(g)}(M) \tag{3.48}$$

satisfying $\pi(p\,\gamma) = \pi(p)\,\pi_0(\gamma)$ for $p \in P_{\mathrm{Spin}(g)}$, $\gamma \in \mathrm{Spin}(g)$ and $\pi_0 : \mathrm{Spin}(g) \to \mathrm{SO}(g)$ is the 2-covering from (2.20). We recall that a spinor structure on (M,g) exists if and only if $w_2(M) = 0$, i.e. the second Stiefel-Whitney class on M (rigorously on TM) is trivial. By $\mathrm{Spin}(g)$ we mean the spin group corresponding to the metric vector space $(V,g) = (T_x^*M, g_x)$ given by the typical fiber

of T^*M. Let W be a finite dimensional left (rank s) $\mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$-module, i.e. let us assume that an algebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathrm{Cl}(V,g) \to \mathrm{Aut}(W)$ exists. By a real spinor bundle we mean

$$S(M) = P_{\mathrm{Spin}(g)} \times_\rho W, \tag{3.49}$$

that is the vector bundle associated to the $\mathrm{Spin}(g)$-principal bundle $P_{\mathrm{Spin}(g)}(M)$ via the representation ρ of $\mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$ on W. Notice that the map ρ defines a representation of $\mathrm{Spin}(g)$ on W since $\mathrm{Spin}(g) \subset \mathrm{Cl}_0(V,g)$. If we consider the complex Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(V,g)$ and a complex vector space W we get the complex spinor bundle

$$S_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = P_{\mathrm{Spin}(g)} \times_\rho W. \tag{3.50}$$

We denote by $\Gamma(S)$ the set of sections of the vector bundle $S(M)$. The action ρ of $\mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$ upon W induces an action of $\mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$ upon $\Gamma(S)$, the Clifford product being obviously represented as the matrix multiplication in $\mathbb{M}(W)$.

In the example of the Kähler - Dirac operator defined above one is considering $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(M,g)$ as a left $\mathcal{A}$-module over itself whose action is given by the left multiplication. One easily sees that this is the associated bundle

$$S_{\mathbb{C}}(M) = P_{\mathrm{Spin}(g)} \times_\lambda \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V,g) \tag{3.51}$$

with λ denoting the left multiplication. The elements in the $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule $\Gamma(S)$ (or $\Gamma(S_{\mathbb{C}})$) are called spinors. The associated bundle is called irreducible if the algebra homomorphism ρ defined above is irreducible.

We close this section by describing how to define the well known spin Dirac operator on a manifold (M,g) which admits a spin structure. On the space of section $\Gamma(S)$ corresponding to a real spinor bundle (like in (3.49)), with $\psi \in \Gamma(S)$, the operator

$$\delta^\nabla : \psi \quad \mapsto \quad (\mathrm{d} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma^{(a)}\gamma^{(b)}\omega_{ab})\,\psi \in \Lambda^1(M) \otimes \Gamma(S) \tag{3.52}$$

is the spin connection covariant derivative on $\Gamma(S)$, with (we adopt the same notations as in the subsection 3.4 for a local chart system, and further assume that within such a coordinate system the metric is cast into normal form, so that $g = \eta_{ab}\mathrm{d}x^a \otimes \mathrm{d}x^b$ with $\eta_{ab} = \pm 1$ depending on the signature of g)

$$\omega_{ab} = \mathrm{d}x^r\,\eta_{as}\,\Gamma_{rb}^{\ \ s} \tag{3.53}$$

the spin connection 1-form, given by the lift to $P_{\mathrm{SO}(g)}(M)$ of the Levi Civita connection on TM, so that $\omega_{ab} = -\omega_{ba}$. We write (locally) $\Gamma(S) \ni \psi = \psi^i w_i$ with $\{w_i\}$ a basis for W and $\psi^i \in C^\infty(U_a)$, we have

$$\delta^\nabla \psi = \mathrm{d}x^r\,\delta_r^\nabla\,\psi. \tag{3.54}$$

This expression defines the spin connection covariant derivative $\delta_r^\nabla : \Gamma(S) \to \Gamma(S)$ along the coordinate directions ∂_r:

$$\delta_r^\nabla\,\psi = (\partial_r\psi^i + \frac{1}{4}\psi^i\eta_{as}\Gamma_{rb}^{\ \ s}\gamma^{(a)}\gamma^{(b)})w_i \tag{3.55}$$

The corresponding Dirac operator is $\not{D} : \Gamma(S) \to \Gamma(S)$:

$$\not{D}\,\psi = \gamma^a\,\delta_a^\nabla\psi : \tag{3.56}$$

this is the spin connection Dirac operator, following the definition given by Atiyah and Singer. The analysis above shows that the action (3.56) comes, via the representation ρ, from the action of the operator

$$\not{D}\,\psi = \theta^a \vee \delta_a^\nabla\,\psi \tag{3.57}$$

upon a set of spinors $\Gamma(S)$ which is a suitable left $\mathrm{Cl}(V,g)$-module. The action of the spin Dirac operator defined in (3.57) closely resembles that of the Hodge - de Rham Dirac operator defined (3.45), when one identifies I_P with $\Gamma(S)$ and the corresponding actions of the Clifford algebra

generated by the differential 1-forms. It is immediate to see that the spin manifold Dirac operator acts upon I_P as a covariant derivative characterized by a vector potential given by

$$\Lambda^1(U_a) \otimes \mathbb{M}_s(\mathbb{C}) \ni \delta^{\nabla} w_i = \theta^a A_{ai}^{\ j} w_j = \frac{1}{4} \theta^a \eta_{ks} \Gamma_{ab}^{\ s} (\gamma^{(k)} \gamma^{(b)} w_i) \tag{3.58}$$

on an orthonormal basis for $\Lambda^1(U_a)$. It is clear that the spin connection covariant derivative defined in (3.55) and the covariant derivative defined in (3.46) may differ, when the metric tensor has a non vanishing curvature[8]. One can see that [19], upon the identification $I_P \sim \Gamma(S)$, the relation

$$(\delta_a^{\nabla} - \nabla_a) w_i = \frac{1}{4} w_i \vee \{(\eta_{sb} \nabla_a \theta^s) \wedge \theta^b\} \tag{3.59}$$

holds. It is moreover possible to prove that the two covariant derivative above are not gauge related.

The spin manifold Dirac operator on a compact riemannian smooth manifold (M, g) can be indeed interestingly characterised by suitable conditions [20, 7]. Define

$$B = \begin{cases} \Gamma(M, \mathrm{Cl}(T^*M)), & \text{if dim } M = 2m \\ \Gamma(M, \mathrm{Cl}_o(T^*M)), & \text{if dim } M = 2m+1. \end{cases} \tag{3.60}$$

By comparing such a definition with (3.2) one sees that B is a vector bundle whose fibers are simple algebras (with respect to the Clifford $\vee$ product) of finite dimension 2^{2m}. One can prove that

(1) the pair (M, g) has a $\mathrm{Spin}^c(g)$-structure if and only if there exists a finite projective hermitian $\mathcal{A}$-module S carrying a self-adjoint action (i.e. this action satisfies (3.8)) of B such that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(S) \simeq B$;

(2) a $\mathrm{Spin}^c(g)$-structure on (M, g) can be refined to a $\mathrm{Spin}(g)$-structure if and only if an antilinear endomorphism C (called a charge conjugation map) of S exists, such that[9]
   (a) $C(\sigma f) = C(\sigma) f$ for any $\sigma \in S$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$,
   (b) $C(u\sigma) = \chi(\bar{u}) C(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in S$ and $u \in B$,
   (c) C is antiunitary, i.e. $\langle C\sigma \mid C\sigma' \rangle = \langle \sigma' \mid \sigma \rangle$ for any $\sigma, \sigma' \in S$,
   (d) $C^2 = \pm 1$ on S for M connected.

The module S is a Clifford module with respect to B. If the pair (S, C) defines a $\mathrm{Spin}(g)$-structure for (M, g), then there is only one connection $\delta : S \to \Lambda^1(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} S$ which is riemannian (see (3.13)), compatible (see (3.14)) with the Clifford algebra structure given by B and real, i.e. $[\delta_X, C] = 0$ for any real vector field X on M. Such a connection turns out to be the spin manifold Dirac connection that can be defined, if and only if $w_2(M) = 0$, in terms of principal $\mathrm{Spin}(g)$-bundles over (M, g). The equivalence of the two approaches is described in [17, 18]. Notice that such a uniqueness for the spin manifold connection strongly depends on the (Morita) condition $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(S) \simeq B$, which amounts, in a principal bundle language, to restrict our attention only on spinors given as sections of associated bundle corresponding to irreducible representations of the relevant Clifford algebras.

4. Dirac operators on a class of spheres

In this section we focus our attention to the spheres S^3 and S^2. We start by realising the sphere S^3 as the SU(2) Lie group manifold, i.e. the set of elements

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} u & -\bar{v} \\ v & \bar{u} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \bar{u}u + \bar{v}v = 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Our aim is to describe the global differential calculus on it. From the relation

$$[\sigma_a, \sigma_b] = 2i \epsilon_{ab}^{\ \ c} \sigma_c$$

[8]This point is elucidated in [16].

[9]Notice that the map χ is defined by $\chi(v_1 \vee \ldots \vee v_j) = (-1)^j \bar{v_1} \vee \ldots \vee \bar{v_j}$.

expressing the commutator of the Pauli matrices, we set $T_a = -i\sigma_a/2$ as a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, with

$$[T_a, T_b] \;=\; \epsilon_{ab}^{\;\;c} T_c. \tag{4.2}$$

The Maurer-Cartan 1-form

$$\gamma^{-1}\mathrm{d}\gamma \;=\; T_a \otimes \theta^a \tag{4.3}$$

implicitly defines a left-invariant basis $\{\theta^a\}_{a=1,\dots,3}$ of $\Lambda^1(\mathrm{SU}(2))$, with

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta^x \;&=\; i(u\mathrm{d}v - v\mathrm{d}u + \bar{v}\mathrm{d}\bar{u} - \bar{u}\mathrm{d}\bar{v}),\\
\theta^y \;&=\; u\mathrm{d}v - v\mathrm{d}u - \bar{v}\mathrm{d}\bar{u} + \bar{u}\mathrm{d}\bar{v},\\
\theta^z \;&=\; 2i(\bar{u}\mathrm{d}u + \bar{v}\mathrm{d}v) \;=\; -2i(u\mathrm{d}\bar{u} + v\mathrm{d}\bar{v}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

while the following vector fields

$$\begin{aligned}
L_x \;&=\; \frac{i}{2}\,(\bar{v}\partial_u - v\partial_{\bar{u}} - \bar{u}\partial_v + u\partial_{\bar{v}}),\\
L_y \;&=\; \frac{1}{2}\,(-\bar{v}\partial_u - v\partial_{\bar{u}} + \bar{u}\partial_v + u\partial_{\bar{v}}),\\
L_z \;&=\; -\frac{i}{2}\,(u\partial_u - \bar{u}\partial_{\bar{u}} + v\partial_v - \bar{v}\partial_{\bar{v}})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

give the dual left-invariant basis for $\mathfrak{X}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$, naturally closing the Lie algebra structure given by $[L_a, L_b] = \epsilon_{ab}^{\;\;c} L_c$. Notice that one usually defines the ladder operators

$$\begin{aligned}
L_- \;&=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(L_x - iL_y) \;=\; \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\,(\bar{v}\partial_u - \bar{u}\partial_v),\\
L_+ \;&=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(L_x + iL_y) \;=\; \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(u\partial_{\bar{v}} - v\partial_{\bar{u}})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

with

$$[L_-, L_+] = iL_z, \qquad [L_-, L_z] = -iL_-, \qquad [L_+, L_z] = iL_+, \tag{4.7}$$

and dual 1-forms given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta^- \;&=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(\theta^x + i\theta^y) \;=\; i\sqrt{2}(u\mathrm{d}v - v\mathrm{d}u),\\
\theta^+ \;&=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(\theta^x - i\theta^y) \;=\; i\sqrt{2}(\bar{v}\mathrm{d}\bar{u} - \bar{u}\mathrm{d}\bar{v}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

The differential calculus is finally characterized by

$$\mathrm{d}\theta^a \;=\; -\frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^{\;\;a}\,\theta^b \wedge \theta^c \tag{4.9}$$

in terms of the Lie algebra structure constants along a given left invariant basis, with

$$L_a \theta^b \;=\; -\,f_{ac}^{\;\;b}\,\theta^c. \tag{4.10}$$

We define a riemannian structure on the group manifold via the Cartan-Killing metric tensor

$$g \;=\; \theta^x \otimes \theta^x + \theta^y \otimes \theta^y + \theta^z \otimes \theta^z \;=\; \theta^- \otimes \theta^+ + \theta^+ \otimes \theta^- \;+\; \theta^z \otimes \theta^z \tag{4.11}$$

associated to the Lie algebra structure of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. From (3.28) it is straightforward to compute that, for the Hodge duality map one gets, with $\tau \;=\; \theta^x \wedge \theta^y \wedge \theta^z$, the following expressions on a basis

$$\star\, 1 \;=\; \tau, \qquad\qquad \star\theta^a \;=\; -\mathrm{d}\theta^a \tag{4.12}$$

with $\star^2 = 1$ on $\Lambda(S^3)$. With respect to the metric tensor (4.11) on SU(2), the Levi Civita connection acts upon a left-invariant non holonomic basis as

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_a(L_b) &= \frac{1}{2} f_{ab}{}^s L_s, \\ \nabla_a(\theta^b) &= \frac{1}{2} f_{sa}{}^b \theta^s,\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

while the Riemann curvature tensor gives (indices are raised and lowered via the CK-metrics)[10]

$$R(L_a, L_b)\theta^s = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{[L_a, L_b]}\theta^s. \tag{4.14}$$

4.1. **The standard spin Dirac operator on S^3.** The formalism described in the previous lines allows to define the Kähler-Atiyah algebra $(\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^3), \wedge, \vee)$, which is globally defined with a Clifford product given by

$$\theta^a \vee \theta^b + \theta^b \vee \theta^a = 2\delta^{ab}. \tag{4.15}$$

It is well known that S^3 has a spin structure, the complexified $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{S}^3, g)$ has one (up to equivalences) irreducible representation given by $\sigma : \theta^a \mapsto \sigma^a \in \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. On the corresponding complex spinor bundle $S_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{S}^3) = P_{\mathrm{Spin}(g)}(\mathrm{S}^3) \times_\sigma \mathbb{C}^2$, with $\mathrm{Spin}(g) = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ one has, for the spin connection 1-form, that

$$\omega_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{abc}\theta^c, \tag{4.16}$$

giving the following spin connection covariant derivative

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^\nabla &= \mathrm{d} - \frac{i}{4} \delta_{ab}\sigma^a\theta^b \\ &= \theta^b \otimes \{L_b - \frac{i}{4} \delta_{ab}\sigma^a\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

so that the action of the spin Dirac operator is

$$\Gamma(S) \sim \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{S}^3) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \ni \psi \quad \mapsto \quad \not{D}\psi = \sigma^b L_b\psi - \frac{3i}{4}\psi. \tag{4.18}$$

The spin connection Dirac operator is equivalent to a covariant derivative – acting upon spinors in $S_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{S}^3)$ – whose connection 1-form is

$$\Lambda^1(\mathrm{S}^3) \otimes \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^2) \ni -\frac{i}{4}\theta^b\delta_{ab}\sigma^a = -\frac{i}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \theta^3 & \theta^1 - i\theta^2 \\ \theta^1 + i\theta^2 & -\theta^3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{-1}\mathrm{d}\gamma. \tag{4.19}$$

Notice that such a connection gives the so called meron solution for Yang-Mills equations on S^3 with gauge group SU(2) [12, 5].

We are interested in explicitly computing the spectrum of such a Dirac operator. Since S^3 is compact and boundaryless, it is known that $\not{D}$ has a pure point spectrum made of discrete eigenvalues [8]; in matrix form, the spectral equation to solve for the relevant part of the operator defined in (4.18) is

$$\begin{aligned}(\not{D} + 3i/4)\psi = \lambda\psi \qquad &\Leftrightarrow \qquad (\sigma^a L_a)\psi = \lambda\psi \\ &\Leftrightarrow \qquad \begin{pmatrix} L_z & \sqrt{2}L_- \\ \sqrt{2}L_+ & -L_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where $\psi_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{S}^3, \tau)$ – $i = 1, 2$ – are the components of the spinor ψ. We consider the set of square integrable spinors $\Gamma(S) = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathrm{S}^3, \tau) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, with the space of square integrable functions on

[10] Notice that the Christoffel symbols on such a non holonomic basis are given by the structure constants of the Lie algebra. The torsion tensor associated to it consistently (we are considering the Levi-Civita connection) vanishes, since one has $\nabla_a L_b - \nabla_b L_a = [L_a, L_b]$.

S^3 given by a suitable completion of the set o polynomials in the variables $(u, v, \bar{u}, \bar{v})$ defined in (4.1). The Peter-Weyl theorem proves that the Wigner functions D^j_{mn} with $j = 1/2, 1, 3/2, \ldots$ and $m, n \in (-j, -j+1, \ldots, j-1, j)$ give an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{L}^2(S^3, \tau)$, fullfilling the relations[11]

$$\begin{aligned} L^2\, D^j_{mn} &= -j(j+1)\, D^j_{mn}, \\ L_z\, D^j_{mn} &= in\, D^j_{mn}, \\ R_z\, D^j_{mn} &= im\, D^j_{mn}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where $L^2 = \sum_{s=1}^3 L_s L_s$ is the Casimir operator (we refer to [21] for the details of the geometry of S^3) in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Our aim is to exhibit a basis for $\Gamma(S)$ given by eigenspinors for $\not{D}$. Since the action of $\not{D}$ is written only in terms of order one left invariant derivations, $\not{D}$ commutes with L^2, so it is natural to consider the vector space decomposition $\mathcal{L}^2(S^3, \tau) = \oplus_j W_j$ with W_j the vector space spanned by the elements D^j_{mn}. For example, the four elements $D^{1/2}_{mn}$ span $W_{1/2}$. Such elements are customarily cast in a 2×2 matrix

$$D^{1/2}_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} u & \bar{v} \\ v & \bar{u} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.22}$$

while the elements D^j_{mn} are written, for an arbitrary j, as

$$D^j_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} u^{2j} & \ldots & \bar{v}^{2j} \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ v^{2j} & \ldots & \bar{u}^{2j} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.23}$$

Elements in the the same column share the same eigenvalue under the action of L_z, while elements in the same row share the same eigenvalue under the action of R_z (see (4.21)): that is m is related to the row index, n to the column index, the dimension of the matrix is $2j+1$. The action of L_+ shifts an element (up to suitable coefficients) to its left along the same row, i.e. $D^j_{mn} \mapsto\propto D^j_{m,n-1}$; the action of L_- shifts an element (up to suitable coefficients) to its right along the same row, i.e. $D^j_{mn} \mapsto\propto D^j_{m,n+1}$. A basis for the Wigner functions is given by

$$D^j_{mn} =\propto R^{2m}_z (v^{j-n} \bar{u}^{j+n}). \tag{4.24}$$

In order to solve the equation (4.20) we start by making an *ansatz*, i.e. we restrict our attention to the following set of spinors

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 = D^j_{mn} \\ \psi_2 = \xi\, L_+ \psi_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.25}$$

where j is fixed, since it labels irreducible subspaces of $\mathcal{L}^2(S^3, \tau)$ with respect to the action of SU(2), and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$. Upon inserting the condition (4.25), the eigenvalue equation (4.20) turns out to be equivalent, with $n \neq -j$, to the following eigenvalue problem,

$$\begin{pmatrix} in & \{n^2 - n - j(j+1)\}/\sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & i(1-n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.26}$$

whose eigenvalue equation is[12]

$$\lambda^2 - i\lambda + j\,(j+1) = 0, \tag{4.28}$$

[11] The R_s are the right invariant vector fields on S^3.

[12] Notice that the relevant identities to obtain (4.26) are (from (4.7)):

$$\begin{aligned} 2L_- L_+ &= L^2 - L_z^2 + iL_z, \\ 2L_+ L_- &= L^2 - L_z^2 - iL_z, \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

.

with solutions (for the eigenvalue λ and its corresponding eigenspinor)

$$\lambda_+ \,=\, i(j+1), \qquad\qquad \psi_+ \,=\, \left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_1 \,=\, D^j_{mn} \\ \psi_2 \,=\, -\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{j+n}\, L_+\psi_1 \end{array} \right) \tag{4.29}$$

$$\lambda_- \,=\, -ij, \qquad\qquad \psi_- \,=\, \left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_1 \,=\, D^j_{mn} \\ \psi_2 \,=\, \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{j+1-n}\, L_+\psi_1 \end{array} \right). \tag{4.30}$$

Notice that, for $n = -j$, it is $L_+ D^j_{m,-j} = 0$: the correct eigenspinor for $n = -j$ is, by a direct inspection, only

$$\lambda_- = -ij, \qquad\qquad \psi_- \,=\, \left(\begin{array}{c} D^j_{m,-j} \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \tag{4.31}$$

The elements $D^j_{m,-j}$ give the first column of the matrix (4.23). An element with $j = -n$ originates, via the ansatz (4.25) only one eigenspinor, while an element with $j = n$ originates two eigenspinors corresponding to two different eigenvalues. Moreover, a direct inspection shows that the spinors

$$\psi \,=\, \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ D^j_{mj} \end{array} \right) \tag{4.32}$$

are eigenspinors of the operator (4.20), with eigenavalue $\lambda_- = -ij$. It is then possible to sum the above results up, and to write that $E_-(j)$, the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_-, has a basis given by

$$E_-(j) \,=\, \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_1 \,=\, D^j_{m,n\neq\pm j} \\ \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{j+1-n}\, L_+\psi_1 \end{array} \right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_1 \,=\, D^j_{m,-j} \\ 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \psi_2 \,=\, D^j_{m,j} \end{array} \right) \right\}, \tag{4.33}$$

so $\dim E_-(j) \,=\, 2j(2j+1) + 2(2j+1)$. Analogously, the eigenspace $E_+(j)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_+ has the following basis of eigenspinors

$$E_+(j) \,=\, \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_1 \,=\, D^j_{m,n\neq -j} \\ -\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{j+n}\, L_+\psi_1 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \tag{4.34}$$

with $\dim E_+(j) \,=\, 2j(2j+1)$. For a fixed j we have that $\dim(E_-(j) \oplus E_+(j)) = 2(2j+1)^2$. This integer exactly gives the dimension of the subspace $W_j \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, so one can write $W_j \,=\, E_-(j) \oplus E_+(j)$. This means that via the procedure outlined above we have been able to give a (square integrable) basis for $\Gamma(S)$ given by eigenspinors of $\not{D}$. Its spectrum is given, with the proper degeneracies, by $\lambda = \lambda_+ - 3i/4 = i(j - 1/4)$, and $\lambda = \lambda_- - 3i/4 = -i(j + 3/4)$.

4.2. **A digression: comparing different methods to compute the spectrum of the Dirac operator.** There are many papers that address the problem of giving a spectral resolution for the spin manifold Dirac operator on S^3 and in general on any sphere S^n. The most cited references for this topic are [8] and [2]. The method to obtain the spectrum for $\not{D}$ and the corresponding eigenspinors is based on the notion of Killing spinor. An introduction to the subject is in [8], it indeed traces back to works by Lichnerowitz. The aim of this digression is to sketch how this method works, and in which sense it is equivalent to the one developed above.

A spinor $\phi \in \Gamma(S)$ (without entering into a general discussion for the topic, we describe it for the geometry on the sphere S^3) is a Killing spinor with Killing factor α if the conditions

$$L_a\phi \,=\, \alpha\sigma_a\phi \tag{4.35}$$

hold. Notice that the existence of Killing spinors for a given spin structure is a non trivial problem. It is immediate to see that, if ϕ is a Killing spinor, than it is also an eigenspinor for $D \,=\, \sigma^a L_a$, i.e.

$$\sigma^a L_a\phi \,=\, 3\alpha\,\phi. \tag{4.36}$$

For an arbitrary element $\psi \in \Gamma(S)$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathrm{S}^3, \tau)$, the following two identities come as an easy computation from the Leibnitz rule:

$$D(f\psi) \,=\, (L_a f)(\sigma^a \psi) + f D\psi, \tag{4.37}$$

$$D^2(f\psi) \,=\, i(L_a f)(\sigma^a\psi) + 2(L_a f)(L_a\psi) + (L^2 f)\psi + f D^2\psi. \tag{4.38}$$

If one considers a Killing spinor ϕ with factor α, the above relations (4.37), (4.38) give

$$\{D - (\alpha + i/2)\}^2(f\phi) \,=\, \{(\alpha + i/2)^2 - 3\alpha(2\alpha + i) + 9\alpha^2\}(f\phi) + (L^2 f)\phi \tag{4.39}$$

If one selects $f \in W_k \Leftrightarrow L^2 f \,=\, -k(k+1)f$, then the above relation takes the form

$$\{D - (\alpha + i/2)\}^2(f\phi) \,=\, \{(\alpha + i/2)^2 - 3\alpha(2\alpha + i) + 9\alpha^2 - k(k+1)\} f\phi \tag{4.40}$$

This means that $f\phi$ is an eigenspinor of $\{D - (\alpha + i/2)\}^2$ and that a subset of the spectrum of D is given by considering the square root of the relation above, namely

$$\mathrm{sp}(D) \supset\, (\alpha + i/2) \,\pm\, \{(\alpha + i/2)^2 - 3\alpha(2\alpha + i) + 9\alpha^2 - k(k+1)\}^{1/2} \tag{4.41}$$

$$= (\alpha + i/2) \,\pm\, \{(2\alpha - i/2)^2 - k(k+1)\}^{1/2} \tag{4.42}$$

The conditions to get a Killing spinors are quite strict. The Killing spinors for the geometry we are considering are the following, both with Killing factor $\alpha \,=\, i/2$:

$$\phi \,=\, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{v} \\ u \end{pmatrix}, \qquad\qquad \phi' \,=\, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u} \\ -v \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.43}$$

Why are these the only Killing spinors for our system? Let us write the conditions (4.35) for $\phi \,=\, (\phi_1, \phi_2)$. Such are

$$\begin{pmatrix} L_z\phi_1 \\ L_z\phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \,=\, \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ -\phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} L_+\phi_1 \\ L_+\phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \,=\, \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\phi_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} L_-\phi_1 \\ L_-\phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \,=\, \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{2}\phi_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.44}$$

The conditions $L_+\phi_2 \,=\, 0$ and $L_-\phi_1 \,=\, 0$ say that ϕ_2 must be an element in the linear span of $\{D^j_{m,-j}\}$, i.e. the first columns of the matrices D^j_{mn}, while ϕ_1 must be spanned by the elements $\{D^j_{m,j}\}$ out of the last columns of D^j_{mn}. The conditions $L_+\phi_1 \,\propto\, \phi_2$ and $L_-\phi_2 \,\propto\, \phi_1$ can be satisfied only if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are spanned by elements out of two columns D^j_{mn}, $L_+ D^j_{mn} \,\propto\, D^j_{m,n-1}$. This means that the only way to fullfill the equations (4.44) is to consider elements in $D^{1/2}_{mn}$, and the only solutions one gets are the spinors (4.43). For $\alpha \,=\, i/2$, for the spectrum (4.41) of D one has

$$\mathrm{sp}(D) \,\supset\, i\,(1 \,\pm\, (k \,+\, \frac{1}{2})) \tag{4.45}$$

One can prove [2] that the expression (4.45) exhausts the spectrum of D, and the degeneracy of each eigenvalue can be explicitly calculated.

The comparison between the eigenspinors defined in (4.33), (4.34) and those defined as $\psi \,=\, f\,\phi$ with $L^2 f \,=\, -k(k+1)f$ and ϕ a Killing spinor is not immediate. In some cases one has $W_k\,\phi \,\subset\, W_{j=k+1/2}$ with eigenvalue of D given by $\lambda_+ \,=\, i(k + 3/2) \,=\, i(j+1)$; in some other cases, because of the radial condition $\bar{u}u + \bar{v}v \,=\, 1$, one may have $\phi\, W_k \,\in\, W_{j=k-1/2}$. To elucidate this point, one may consider the following examples. In (4.33) we have seen that

$$\psi_{(1)} \,=\, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_{(2)} \,=\, \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_{(3)} \,=\, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_{(4)} \,=\, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{v} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.46}$$

are eigenspinors for D. It seems that they cannot be obtained as the product $f\phi$ with a given f and one of the Killing spinors. Well, they *can* be suitably obtained, as the following lines show

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = vu\,\phi + u^2\,\phi', \\
\psi_{(2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = v^2\,\phi + uv\,\phi', \\
\psi_{(3)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} = \bar{u}^2\,\phi - \bar{u}\bar{v}\,\phi', \\
\psi_{(4)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{v} \end{pmatrix} = \bar{u}\bar{v}\,\phi - \bar{v}^2\,\phi',
\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

The eigenspinors (4.47) belong to the same eigenspace for D, corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_- = i(-k+1/2)$ with $k=1$.

We conclude this digression by noticing that the method developed in the previous section – which is indeed specific for S^3 – makes it easier to obtain the the right degeneracy for the spectrum and a basis of eigenspinors, since we do not need to multiply functions and only afterwards to get the corresponding eigenvalue. With respect to the paper [4], our method moreover provides the eigenspinors in terms of globally defined quantity, not depending on a local trivialisation.

4.3. **The Hodge - de Rham Dirac operator on S^3.** We now adopt the algebraic approach outlined in section 3.4, in order to define a Hodge - de Rham Dirac operator following the approach by Kähler. The first step is to get a Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{S}^3, g)$-idempotent P satisfying the relation (3.42). A direct proof shows that no idempotent P whose range is 2-dimensional satisfies such a condition. We then consider the element

$$P = \frac{1}{2}\,(1 - i\,\tau), \tag{4.48}$$

reading $P \vee P = P$ (since $\tau \vee \tau = -1$) and $\nabla_a P = 0$. The corresponding spinor space I_P is four dimensional, with a basis given by (here $a = 1, \ldots, 3$)

$$\begin{aligned}
w_0 &= 1 - i\tau, \\
w_a &= \delta_{ab}(\theta^b - \frac{i}{2}\,\varepsilon^b{}_{st}\theta^s \wedge \theta^t) = \delta_{ab}(\theta^b + i\mathrm{d}\theta^b) = \delta_{ab}(\theta^b - i \star \theta^b).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

The Clifford product

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta^a \vee w_b &= i\varepsilon^{sa}{}_b w_s + \delta^a_b w_0 \\
\theta^a \vee w_0 &= w_a
\end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

gives the $\theta^a \vee \mapsto \gamma^{(a)}$ matrices representation for the action of the Clifford algebra upon I_P. Along the basis $\{w_0, w_a\}$, one has

$$\gamma^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.51}$$

The action of the Levi Civita covariant derivative upon I_P reads a vector potential (see (3.47))

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_j w_0 = 0 \qquad &\Rightarrow \qquad A^s_{j0} = 0, \quad A^0_{j0} = 0 \\
\nabla_j w_a = \frac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon^b{}_{ja} w_b \qquad &\Rightarrow \qquad A^s_{ja} = \frac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon^s{}_{ja}, \quad A^0_{ja} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

so that the corresponding connection 1-form A on I_P reads

$$\Lambda^1(\mathrm{S}^3)\otimes\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{C}^4)\ni A=\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&0&-\theta^3&\theta^2\\0&\theta^3&0&-\theta^1\\0&-\theta^2&\theta^1&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.53}$$

The action of the Hodge - de Rham Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}=\theta^a\vee\nabla_a:\ I_P\to I_P$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}\ :\ \psi^0w_0\quad&\mapsto\quad\delta^{ab}(L_a\psi^0)w_b,\\ :\ \psi^aw_a\quad&\mapsto\quad(L_k\psi^k)w_0+i(\varepsilon^{sk}{}_aL_k\psi^a-\psi^s)w_s.\end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

The corresponding Laplacian operator acts as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^2\ :\ \psi^0w_0\quad&\mapsto\quad(L^2\psi^0)w_0,\\ :\ \psi^aw_a\quad&\mapsto\quad(L^2\psi^j+\varepsilon^{jb}{}_sL_b\psi^s-\psi^j)w_j,\end{aligned} \tag{4.55}$$

where we have denoted $L^2=\delta^{ab}L_aL_b$.

In order to study the spectrum of such a Dirac operator, one writes its action in a matrix form, so that the eigenvalue equation is

$$\mathcal{D}\psi=\begin{pmatrix}0&L_+&L_z&L_-\\L_-&L_z-i&-L_-&0\\L_z&-L_+&-i&L_-\\L_+&0&L_+&-i-L_z\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\psi_0\\\psi_-\\\psi_z\\\psi_+\end{pmatrix}=\lambda\begin{pmatrix}\psi_0\\\psi_-\\\psi_z\\\psi_+\end{pmatrix} \tag{4.56}$$

along the basis $w_0,w_z,w_\pm$. One is able to exhibit a basis of eigenspinors for $\mathcal{D}$ for the space of spinors identified as $I_P=\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{S}^3,\tau)\otimes\mathbb{C}^4$ by developing ansätze similar to that introduced before. The spectrum of $\mathcal{D}$ turns out to be given by the following sectors:

(1) for $j\neq\pm n$, one has

$$\lambda_\pm=\pm i\sqrt{j(j+1)},\qquad\qquad\psi_\pm=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_\pm D^j_{mn}\\L_-D^j_{mn}\\L_zD^j_{mn}\\L_+D^j_{mn}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.57}$$

$$\lambda=ij,\qquad\qquad\psi=\begin{pmatrix}0\\\frac{i}{j-n}L_-D^j_{mn}\\D^j_{mn}\\-\frac{i}{j+n}L_+D^j_{mn}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.58}$$

$$\lambda=-i(j+1),\qquad\qquad\psi=\begin{pmatrix}0\\-\frac{i}{j+n+1}L_-D^j_{mn}\\D^j_{mn}\\\frac{i}{j+1-n}L_+D^j_{mn}\end{pmatrix}; \tag{4.59}$$

(2) for $j=n$ one has

$$\lambda_\pm=\pm i\sqrt{j(j+1)},\qquad\qquad\psi_\pm=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_\pm D^j_{mj}\\0\\L_zD^j_{mj}\\L_+D^j_{mj}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.60}$$

$$\lambda = -i(j+1), \qquad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -iD^j_{mj} \\ L_+ D^j_{mj} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.61}$$

$$\lambda = -i(j+1), \qquad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ D^j_{mj} \end{pmatrix}; \tag{4.62}$$

(3) for $j = -n$ one has

$$\lambda_\pm = \pm i\sqrt{j(j+1)}, \qquad \psi_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_\pm D^j_{m,-j} \\ L_- D^j_{m,-j} \\ L_z D^j_{m,-j} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.63}$$

$$\lambda = -i(j+1), \qquad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ L_- D^j_{m,-j} \\ -i\, D^j_{m,-j} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.64}$$

$$\lambda = -i(j+1), \qquad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ D^j_{m,-j} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.65}$$

By noticing that m is a degeneracy label for the eigenspinors corresponding to any given eigenvalue, we easily see that we have a basis for $W_j \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$, and then of all I_P made by eigenspinors.

4.4. **The spin manifold Dirac operator upon algebraic spinors.** Following the general analysis described in section 3.5, we turn now to the construction of the spin manifold Dirac operator $\not{D}$ acting upon I_P. This means that we construct a complex spinor bundle over S^3 via $W = \mathbb{C}^4$ since $\dim I_P = 4$ and $\rho : \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{S}^3, g) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^4)$ given by $\theta^a \mapsto \gamma^{(a)}$ defined by (4.50). It is immediate to compute that (see (4.18))

$$\not{D} = \gamma^a (L_a - \frac{i}{4}\delta_{ab}\gamma^b) = (\gamma^a L_a - \frac{3i}{4}) \tag{4.66}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D} : \psi^0 w_0 &\mapsto -\frac{3i}{4}\psi^0 w_0 + \delta^{ks}(L_k\psi^0)w_s, \\ : \psi^a w_a &\mapsto (L_k\psi^k)w_0 + i(\varepsilon^{bk}{}_a L_k\psi^a - \frac{3}{4}\psi^b)w_b. \end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

Such a Dirac operator can be seen as a covariant derivative acting upon I_P with a suitable vector potential. From

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\theta^a\eta_{ks}\Gamma^s_{ab}(\gamma^k\gamma^b w_i) &= -\frac{1}{4}\theta^a(\varepsilon^j{}_{ia} w_j - \delta_{ai} w_0) \\ \frac{1}{4}\theta^a\eta_{ks}\Gamma^s_{ab}(\gamma^k\gamma^b w_0) &= -\frac{i}{4}\theta^a w_a \end{aligned} \tag{4.68}$$

we see that the corresponding connection 1-form (see (3.58)) is given by

$$\Lambda^1(U_a) \otimes \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^K) \ni A = -\frac{1}{4}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\theta^1 & i\theta^2 & i\theta^3 \\ i\theta^1 & 0 & \theta^3 & -\theta^2 \\ i\theta^2 & -\theta^3 & 0 & \theta^1 \\ i\theta^3 & \theta^2 & -\theta^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.69}$$

The Hodge - de Rham Dirac operator and the spin Dirac operator can both be seen as covariant derivatives acting upon the same spinor space I_P, with different vector potentials, since the one in (4.69) differs from the one in (4.53). The corresponding Laplacian is

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D}^2 : \psi^0 w_0 &\mapsto (L^2\psi^0 - \frac{9}{16}\psi^0)w_0 - \frac{i}{2}(L_k\psi^0)\delta^{ks}w_s \\ : \psi^a w_a &\mapsto -\frac{i}{2}(L_k\psi^k)w_0 + (L^2\psi^j + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{js}{}_a L_s\psi^a - \frac{9}{16}\psi^j)w_j. \end{aligned} \tag{4.70}$$

As we did for the Dirac operator we have previously analysed, we turn now to the problem of determining the spectrum of the operator $\not{D} + 3i/4 = \gamma^a L_a$ acting upon the algebraic spinors I_P as in (4.67). The matrix form of the corresponding eigenvalue equation is

$$\gamma^a L_a \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & L_+ & L_z & L_- \\ L_- & L_z & -L_- & 0 \\ L_z & -L_+ & 0 & L_- \\ L_+ & 0 & L_+ & -L_z \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \psi_0 \\ \psi_- \\ \psi_z \\ \psi_+ \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \psi_0 \\ \psi_- \\ \psi_z \\ \psi_+ \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.71}$$

along the basis $w_0, w_z, w_\pm$. Notice that the difference between (4.56) and (4.71) resides in the constant terms along the diagonal. Exploring ansätze close to the one already considered, one can check that the spectrum for the operator (4.71) can be written as follows:

(1) for $j \neq \pm n$,

$$\begin{matrix} \lambda_+ = i(j+1) \\ \lambda_- = -ij \end{matrix} \qquad \psi_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} D^j_{mn} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_\pm - i} L_- D^j_{mn} \\ -\frac{n}{1+i\lambda_\pm} D^j_{mn} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_\pm - i} L_+ D^j_{mn} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{\psi}_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n}{1+i\lambda_\pm} D^j_{mn} \\ \frac{1}{-\lambda_\pm + i} L_- D^j_{mn} \\ D^j_{mn} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_\pm - i} L_+ D^j_{mn} \end{pmatrix}; \tag{4.72}$$

(2) for $n = -j$, since $L_+ D^j_{m,-j} = 0$, one has

$$\lambda_- = -ij, \qquad \psi_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} D^j_{m,-j} \\ 0 \\ D^j_{m,-j} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} D^j_{m,-j} \\ i\, L_- D^j_{m,-j} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \psi_{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ D^j_{m,-j} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.73}$$

$$\lambda_+ = i(j+1), \qquad \psi_{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} D^j_{m,-j} \\ -ij^{-1} D^j_{m,-j} \\ -D^j_{m,-j} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \tag{4.74}$$

(3) for $n = j$, with $L_- D^j_{mj} = 0$, one has

$$\lambda_- = -ij, \qquad \tilde{\psi}_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} D^j_{mj} \\ 0 \\ -D^j_{mj} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{\psi}_{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} D^j_{mj} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -i\, L_+ D^j_{mj} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \tilde{\psi}_{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ D^j_{mj} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.75}$$

$$\lambda_+ = i(1+j), \qquad \tilde{\psi}_{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} D^j_{mj} \\ 0 \\ D^j_{mj} \\ -ij^{-1} D^j_{mj} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.76}$$

Upon counting the multiplicities of the above eigenvalues, one can conclude that they give the whole spectrum of the operator (4.71).

4.5. **The Kähler-Dirac operator on S^2.** It is well known that the quotient of the right action of a U(1) subgroup upon S^3 is the basis S^2 of the principal Hopf bundle. If we choose L_z as the infinitesimal generator of such a principal action, then we identify L_z as the vertical vector field of the fibration and realise the exterior algebra $\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^2)$ over the basis as the set of horizontal and U(1)-equivariant forms over S^3, i.e.

$$\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^2) = \{\phi \in \Lambda(\mathrm{S}^3) : L_z \phi = 0,\, i_{L_z}\phi = 0\}, \tag{4.77}$$

so we may write

$$\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^2) \ni f + c_- \theta^- + c_+ \theta^+ + ih\, \theta^- \wedge \theta^+ \tag{4.78}$$

under the conditions that (f, c_-, c_+, h) are elements in $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{S}^3)$ fulfilling the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} L_z f &= L_z h = 0 \\ L_z c_\pm &= \mp i c_\pm. \end{aligned} \tag{4.79}$$

Notice that the conditions on the second line originate from (4.5) and (4.8), reading $L_z \theta^\pm = i\theta^\pm$. We are assuming $\Lambda(S^2)$ to have complex coefficients.

Since the exterior algebra over S^2 is realized as a subset of the exterior algebra over S^3, we wonder how the Kähler-Dirac operator defined on S^3 acts upon differential forms over S^2. From (4.6)-(4.11) it is straightforward to compute that, on S^3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\theta^- &= -i\, \theta^- \wedge \theta^z, \\ \mathrm{d}\theta^+ &= i\, \theta^+ \wedge \theta^z, \\ \mathrm{d}\theta^z &= i\, \theta^- \wedge \theta^+ \end{aligned} \tag{4.80}$$

while, for the Hodge duality map on S^3 one gets, with $\tau = i\, \theta^- \wedge \theta^+ \wedge \theta^z$, the following expressions on a basis

$$\star 1 = \tau, \qquad \star\theta^a = \mathrm{d}\theta^a \tag{4.81}$$

with $\star^2 = 1$ on $\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^3)$. One can explicitly calculate that, if $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{S}^3)$, $L_z f = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{D}(f\theta^- \wedge \theta^+) \notin \Lambda(\mathrm{S}^2). \tag{4.82}$$

The action of the Hodge - de Rham Dirac operator on S^3 does not induce a meaningful operator when its domain is restricted to $\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^3)$. The formalism described so far allows indeed to introduce a meaningful Hodge - de Rham Dirac operator on the euclidean sphere S^2.

The set $\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^2)$ is a free bimodule over $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{S}^2)$ of dimension 8. This claim has an easy proof. Following [11] we consider the array of elements in $\mathcal{F}(S^3)$ (see (4.1))

$$\varphi = (u^2, \sqrt{2}uv, v^2), \qquad \bar{\varphi} = (\bar{u}^2, \sqrt{2}\bar{u}\bar{v}, \bar{v}^2) \tag{4.83}$$

with (componentwise) $\sum_j \varphi_j \bar{\varphi}_j = 1$. From (4.5) it is immediate to see that

$$\begin{aligned} L_z\, c_- &= i\, c_- \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad c_- = \sum_j \alpha_j \bar{\varphi}_j, \\ L_z\, c_+ &= -i\, c_+ \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad c_+ = \sum_j \beta_j \varphi_j, \end{aligned} \tag{4.84}$$

with $L_z \alpha_j = 0;\ L_z \beta_j = 0$.

We now explore how to obtain irreducible actions of the Dirac operator upon a set of algebraic spinors on S^2. The subset $\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^2)$ is a Clifford subalgebra of $(\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^3), \wedge, \vee)$, as one can compute

$$\begin{aligned} & c_\pm \theta^\pm \vee c'_\pm \theta^\pm = 0, \\ & c_- \theta^- \vee c_+ \theta^+ = c_- \theta^- \wedge c_+ \theta^+ + 1 \\ & c_- \theta^- \vee c_+ \theta^+ + c_+ \theta^+ \vee c_- \theta^- = 2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.85}$$

These relations allows to prove the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} (f + c_- \theta^- + c_+ \theta^+ + i h \theta^- \wedge \theta^+) \vee (f' + c'_- \theta^- + c'_+ \theta^+ + i h' \theta^- \wedge \theta^+) = \\ (f f' + c_- c'_+ + c'_- c_+ - h h') + (f h' + f' h - i c_- c'_+ + i c_+ c'_-) i \theta^- \wedge \theta^+ + \\ (f c'_- + f' c_- + i h c'_- - i h' c_-) \theta^- + (f c'_+ + f' c_+ - i h c'_+ + i h' c_+) \theta^+. \end{aligned} \tag{4.86}$$

We look now for idempotent elements in $\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^2, \wedge, \vee)$, that is we look for elements satisfying the identity $P \vee P = P$. From the relation above, one easily sees that $\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^2) \ni P = f = c_- \theta^- + c_+ \theta^+ + i h \theta^- \wedge \theta^+$ is a projector if and only if

$$f = \frac{1}{2}, \qquad 2 c_- c_+ = \frac{1}{4} + h^2. \tag{4.87}$$

We stress that solutions for such equations exist only if we complexify the Clifford algebra on S^3 and on S^2. We consider the element

$$P = \frac{1}{2}\,(1 - \theta^- \wedge \theta^+) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\,\theta^- \vee \theta^+ \tag{4.88}$$

which satisfies the identity $P \vee P = P$. From (4.86) it is straightforward to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & c_- \theta^- \vee P = c_- \theta^-, \\ & c_+ \theta^+ \vee P = 0, \\ & \theta^- \vee \theta^+ \vee P = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.89}$$

so that

$$I_P = \{\Lambda(S^2) \ni \psi = f + c_- \theta^- - \frac{1}{2}\, f\, \theta^- \vee \theta^+\} \tag{4.90}$$

where $(f,\, c_-)$ are complex valued elements in $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{S}^3)$ satisfying the conditions (4.79). As a module on $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{S}^2)$, I_P is free and 4-dimensional. In order to introduce a Dirac operator we need to introduce a suitable Hodge duality on $\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^2)$. The way to do that is to restrict the scalar product defined on $\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^3)$ as in (2.14) to its subalgebra $\Lambda(\mathrm{S}^2)$, and to prove that with respect to such a restriction a

meaningful Hodge $\star_{S^2}$ can be defined[13], reading

$$\begin{aligned}
\star_{S^2}(f) &= if\theta^- \wedge \theta^+, \\
\star_{S^2}(c_-\theta^-) &= -ic_-\theta^-, \\
\star_{S^2}(c_+\theta^+) &= ic_+\theta^+, \\
\star_{S^2}(if\theta^- \wedge \theta^+) &= f
\end{aligned} \tag{4.91}$$

We can write (4.90) as

$$I_P \,=\, \{\Lambda(S^2) \ni \psi \,=\, f\,\psi_1 \,+\, (\sum_j \alpha_j\bar{\varphi}_j)\theta^-\} \tag{4.92}$$

with $\psi_1 \,=\, \frac{1}{2}\,(1 - \theta^- \wedge \theta^+)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(f\psi_1) \,&=\, (L_-f)\theta^- \,=\, \sum_j \{\varphi_j\, L_-f\}(\bar{\varphi}_j\theta^-), \\
\mathcal{D}(\sum_j \alpha_j\bar{\varphi}_j\theta^-) \,&=\, \{L_+(\sum_j \alpha_j\bar{\varphi}_j)\}(1 - \theta^- \wedge \theta^+) \,=\, 2\,(L_+ \sum_j \alpha_j\bar{\varphi}_j)\psi_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.93}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
L_z(\sum_j \{\varphi_j\, L_-f\} \,&=\, 0, \\
L_z(L_+ \sum_j \alpha_j\bar{\varphi}_j) \,&=\, 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.94}$$

Along the basis $(\psi_1, \bar{\varphi}_j\theta^-)$ of I_P, the action of the Dirac operator has the following matrix form:

$$\mathcal{D} \,=\, \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 2(\bar{\varphi}_1 L_+ \,+\, (L_+\bar{\varphi}_1)) & 2(\bar{\varphi}_2 L_+ \,+\, (L_+\bar{\varphi}_2)) & 2(\bar{\varphi}_3 L_+ \,+\, (L_+\bar{\varphi}_3)) \\
\varphi_1 L_- & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\varphi_2 L_- & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\varphi_3 L_- & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \tag{4.95}$$

where the terms $\bar{\varphi}_j L_+$ are meaningful order 1 differential operators upon functions on S^2, while the terms $(L_+\bar{\varphi}_j)$ are to be read as meaningful multiplication operators upon functions on S^2 (see (4.94)).

We are interested in computing the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{D}$ defined above. We define a spinor $\psi \,=\, (\psi_0,\, \psi_1,\, \psi_2,\, \psi_3)$, so that the eigenvalue equation $\mathcal{D}\psi \,=\, \lambda\psi$ turns out to be equivalent to the following componentwise equations, with $k = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\sum_k \, 2\{\psi_k(L_+\bar{\varphi}_k) \,+\, \bar{\varphi}_k(L_+\psi_k)\} \,=\, \lambda\psi_0, \tag{4.96}$$

$$\varphi_k(L_-\psi_0) \,=\, \lambda\,\psi_k \tag{4.97}$$

Since one already knows (Lichnerowitz identity) that $\lambda \,\neq\, 0$, one casts the expression $\psi_k \,=\, \lambda^{-1}\varphi_k(L_-\psi_0)$ into (4.96) and, after some algebra, obtains

$$2\,L_+L_-\psi_0 \,=\, \lambda^2\psi_0 \tag{4.98}$$

From the Lie algebra relations for the rotation group one has the identity $2L_+L_- \,=\, L^2 - L_z^2 - iL_z$. From (4.79) we characterise the set $\mathcal{L}^2(S^2, i_z\tau)$ of square integrable functions on S^2 as the $\mathrm{Ker}\, L_z$

[13]See the footnote 5 and the references [13, 22], where a Hodge duality for the non commutative sphere S^2_q has been defined via a frame bundle approach which can be directly adapted to the classical case we are considering.

acting upon square integrable functions on S^3. This means that each $\psi_0 = D^j_{m,0}$ is a solution of (4.98) with $\lambda^2 = -j(j+1)$. The spectrum resolution of the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ turns out to be

$$\lambda_\pm = \pm i\sqrt{j(j+1)}, \qquad \psi_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_0 = D^j_{m,0} \\ \psi_1 = \lambda_\pm^{-1}\varphi_1 L_-\psi_0 \\ \psi_2 = \lambda_\pm^{-1}\varphi_2 L_-\psi_0 \\ \psi_3 = \lambda_\pm^{-1}\varphi_3 L_-\psi_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.99}$$

Notice that the above expression is meaningful only for integer $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$.

4.6. **A local description of the Kähler-Dirac operator on S^2.** The aim of this section is to report some explicit calculations developed within the local manifold formalism for S^2 equipped with the usual Riemannian metric tensor. We consider a local chart for S^2 with a coordinate system given by $\theta \in (0, \pi), \quad \varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ and the metric tensor given by

$$g = d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2\theta\, d\varphi \otimes d\varphi = \hat{\theta} \otimes \hat{\theta} + \hat{\varphi} \otimes \hat{\varphi}, \tag{4.100}$$

where the *zweibein* for such a metric structure is given by $\hat{\theta} = d\theta, \quad \hat{\varphi} = \sin\theta\, d\varphi$, so we have a local Kähler-Atiyah algebra $(\Lambda(S^2), \wedge, \vee)$, with the volume form $\tau = \hat{\theta} \wedge \hat{\varphi} = \sin\theta\, d\theta \wedge d\varphi$.

The set of projectors P with $P \vee P = P$ satisfying the integrability condition (3.42) is given by

$$P_\pm(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm i\tau) + \beta(\hat{\theta} \pm i\mathcal{P}) \tag{4.101}$$

with $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. The set of algebraic spinors, that is the set

$$\Lambda(S^2) \supseteq I_\pm(\beta) = \{\psi : \psi \vee P_\pm(\beta) = \psi\}$$

is a 2-dimensional $\mathcal{F}(S^2)$-bimodule with basis given by

$$\psi_1^{(\pm)} = 1 \pm i\tau, \qquad \psi_2^{(\pm)} = \hat{\theta} \pm i\hat{\varphi}. \tag{4.102}$$

Notice that $I_\pm(\beta)$ does not depend on β. The $\mathrm{Pin}(S^2, g)$ group is given by elements

$$\epsilon = A\hat{\theta} + B\hat{\varphi}, \qquad A, B \in \mathbb{C} : A^2 + B^2 = \pm 1 \tag{4.103}$$

and the notion of equivalence in the set of projectors given by (3.41) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \vee \epsilon = 1 \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \epsilon \vee P_\pm(\beta) \vee \epsilon^{-1} = P_\mp((A \mp iB)^2\beta), \\ \epsilon \vee \epsilon = -1 \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \epsilon \vee P_\pm(\beta) \vee \epsilon^{-1} = P_\mp((\pm iA + B)^2\beta), \end{aligned} \tag{4.104}$$

while the corresponding transformation $\psi \mapsto \psi \vee \epsilon$ for the set of spinors reads $I_\pm \vee \epsilon = I_\mp$. Upon spinors in I_- along the basis $\{\psi^{(-)}_{1,2}\}$ the action of the Kähler-Dirac operator has the matrix form

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta} + \partial_\theta - \frac{i}{\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi \\ \partial_\theta + \frac{i}{\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.105}$$

To prove this result one easily checks that the Clifford action of the generators $\hat{\theta}$, $\hat{\varphi}$ upon the above basis in I_- reads the 2-dimensional irreducible representation given by

$$\hat{\theta} \vee \mapsto \sigma_x, \qquad \hat{\varphi} \vee \mapsto \sigma_y, \tag{4.106}$$

(these identities give the first order differential terms of the operator $\mathcal{D}$) while the identities

$$\mathcal{D} : \psi_1^{(-)} \mapsto 0, \qquad \mathcal{D} : \psi_2^{(-)} \mapsto \frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\psi_1^{(-)} \tag{4.107}$$

are responsible for the curvature term in (4.105).

Which is the action of the spin Dirac operator on sections of the usual spinor bundle over S^2? If one identifies the spinor space by I_-, following [6], one gets

$$\not{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\cos\theta}{2\sin\theta} + \partial_\theta - \frac{i}{\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi \\ \frac{\cos\theta}{2\sin\theta} + \partial_\theta + \frac{i}{\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.108}$$

This operator coincides with the one written in [1]. One easily sees that $\mathcal{D}$ and $\not{D}$ are not gauge related. Moreover, a local description of both operators is given in [20], where $\mathcal{D}$ is not presented as acting upon algebraic spinors.

Acknowledgements. We started to study this topic under the suggestion and the guidance of our advisor, Beppe Marmo, to whom we are gratefully indebted for the precious insights he gave us. We should like to thank Patrizia Vitale, Juan Manuel Pérez - Pardo and Erlend Grong for the many interesting discussions together. This paper originated during the Workshop on *Quantum Physics: foundations and applications* (CHEP – Bangalore 2016). We should like to thank the organizers and all the participants for the stimulating atmosphere we experienced. We gratefully acknowledge the support of the INFN, of Norbert Poncin and the University of Luxembourg. This paper has been evolved and then completed while we were visiting the ICMAT (Madrid) and the Brookhaven National Laboratory (Upton, NY), which we thank.

References

[1] A.A. Abrikosov Jr., *Dirac operator on the Riemann sphere*, arXiv:hep-th/0212134.
[2] C. Bär, *The Dirac operator on space forms of positive curvature*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 48 (1996) 69-83.
[3] A.P. Balachandran, G. Immirzi, J. Lee, P. Presnajder, *Dirac operators on coset spaces*, J. Math. Phys. 44 (2003) 4713-4735.
[4] R. Camporesi, A. Higuchi, *On the eigenfunctions of the Dirac operator on spheres and real hyperbolic spaces*, J. Geom. and Phys. 20 (1996) 1-18.
[5] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, *A new classical solution of the Yang-Mills field equations*, Phys. Lett. B65 (1976) 163-166.
[6] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, *Spin Geometry*, unpublished, lecture Notes avalaible at http://empg.maths.ed.ac.uk/Activities/Spin
[7] J.M. Gracia-Bondía, J.C. Varilly, H. Figueroa, *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*, Birkhäuser (2000).
[8] T. Friedrich, *Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry*, AMS 2000.
[9] W. Graf, *Differential forms as spinors*, Annales I.H.P, section A, 29 (1978) 85-192.
[10] E. Kähler, *Der innere Differentialkalkül*, Rendiconti di Matematica vol. 21 (1962) 425-523.
[11] G. Landi, *Projective modules of finite type and monopoles over S^2*, J. Geom and Phys. 37 (2001) 47-62.
[12] G. Landi, *Spinor and gauge connections over oriented spheres*, in Proceedings of the 7th Italian Conference on General Relativity and Gravitational Physics, World Scientific (1987), 287-291.
[13] G. Landi, A. Zampini, *Calculi, Hodge operators and Laplacians on a quantum Hopf fibration*, Rev. Math. Phys. 23 (2011) 575-613.
[14] N.P. Landsman, *Notes on Noncommutative Geometry*, unpublished lecture notes avalaible at www.math.ru.nl/ landsman/ncg2010.pdf.
[15] H.B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelson, *Spin geometry*, Princeton University Press 1989.
[16] L.I. Nicolaescu, *Lectures on the geometry of manifolds*, World Scientific 1996.
[17] R.J. Plymen, *Strong Morita equivalence, spinors and symplectic spinors*, J. Oper. Theory 16 (1986) 305-324.
[18] H. Schröder, *On the definition of geometric Dirac operators*, math.dg/0005239.
[19] R.W. Tucker, *A Clifford calculus for physical field theories*, in *Clifford algebras and their applications in mathematical physics*, NATO ASI Series vol.183 (1985).
[20] J. Varilly, *Dirac operators and spectral geometry*, 2006: unpublished lecture notes avalaible at https://www.impan.pl/swiat-matematyki/notatki-z-wyklado∼/varilly_dosg.pdf
[21] D.A. Varshalovic, A.N. Moskalev, V.K. Khersonskii, *Quantum theory of angular momentum*, World Scientific 1988.
[22] A. Zampini, *Hodge duality operators on left covariant exterior algebras over two and three dimensional quantum spheres*, Rev. Math. Phys. 25 (2013) 9-38.

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli Federico II and INFN - Sezione di Napoli, Via Cintia - 80126 Napoli, Italy
E-mail address: dicosmo@fisica.unina.it

Mathematics Research Unit, University of Luxembourg, 6 Rue Richard Coudenhove - Kalergi - 1359 Citè de Luxembourg - Luxembourg
E-mail address: azampini@gmail.com